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Conclusion

The fifth decade of the XIV century witnessed the entry of Byzantine civil wars into a fatal phase whose consequences would deeply impact the entire history of the European continent. John Cantacuzene’s bid for the throne of Constantinople and his general reliance on Turkish military contingents from the Emirates of Asia Minor would introduce a new player on the Balkan’s political scene that quickly secured the principal role. The most serious pretenders to the Second Rome’s heirloom (Serbian and Bulgarian states, as well as powers of the Christian West) found in the Ottoman Emirate of Bithynia, with the capital in Bursa, more than an equal match. Their answers to the new challenge were different but, as the time showed, just as inefficient. In the case of Serbs and Bulgarians it was not much before that the competition for the hegemony of Southeast Europe transformed itself into a bitter defence of political subsistence.

The period in focus is outlined by two momentous battles: those of Dimotica (1352) and Nicopolis (1396) – the goal is to discuss, by analysing data from various sources of domestic and foreign origin, the methods of Serbian and Bulgarian governing elites in their attempts to maintain national states. Diverse strategies were used: armed resistance, seeking alliances on the West and promising the Church Union, accepting the Ottoman overlordship, and even active participation in the struggle against crusading armies. This last measure enabled the Serbian medieval state to outlast the political disappearance of Bulgaria for more than sixty years.

EFFECTS OF THE EARLY OTTOMAN CONQUESTS ON THE STATE AND SOCIAL STRUCTURE OF THE LAZAREVIĆ PRINCIPALITY

The topic relates to the historical issues defining the political and social outline of the Lazarević Principality in the reflection of the early Ottoman conquests in the Balkans. The timeframe covers the period from the late 14th up to the first decades of the 15th century. The paper examines concrete effects of the early Ottoman conquest on the geopolitical status and social mobility that the Lazarević Principality experienced in the said period. The contribution includes direct and implicit phenomena that induced historical processes and specific changes in the political and...
social milieu. The starting hypothesis is that the political influence of the early Ottoman conquests on the development of the Lazarević Principality was both positive and negative. The social changes within the state were caused by different factors. We defined three different chronological phases with substantial alterations of the hierarchy within the Lazarević Principality. The first phase relates to the period of prince Lazar’s rule, the second to the turbulent period after the Battle of Kosovo, and the final stage corresponds to the period of rule of despot Stefan Lazarević.

Miloš IVANOVIĆ, PhD
(Institute of History Belgrade)

CYRILLIC CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN THE COMMUNE OF RAGUSA AND OTTOMANS FROM 1396 TO 1458

An important source for an overview of relations between the Commune of Ragusa and the Ottoman Empire is Cyrillic correspondence of these states. In this paper I analyse letters from the period between 1396 and 1458. Namely, the first preserved document in the Serbian language dates from 1396, while the year 1458 marks the end of the research since Ragusa became a vassal of the Ottoman Empire. The Ragusan letters to Turkish military commanders and qadis had a similar form as documents which they were sending to Serbian and Bosnian rulers and noblemen. Through their analysis it is possible to determine what kind of reputation Ottomans had in the eyes of the Ragusans. On the other hand, Ottoman letters combined patterns of Serbian and Turkish diplomatics. The content of most of the letters referred to the status and privileges of Ragusan merchants on the Ottoman territory. It should be noted that Ragusans avoided contact with Sultans, fearing that they would impose the payment of tribute on their commune. Therefore, they tried to resolve problems of their citizens in communication with Ottoman military commanders and officials.

Tatjana KATIĆ, PhD
(Institute of History Belgrade)

SERBIAN NOBILITY IN OTTOMAN SERVICE (15TH CENTURY)

The essential question – what key factor led to the rise of the Ottoman Empire, has been drawing scholarly attention for decades. The debate on this issue resulted in new findings regarding the role of Christians in the formative years of the Ottoman state. The main focus has been placed on prominent individuals, descendants of the former ruling families: the Paleologos, Angelos, Kosača and others. Halil İnalcık was
the first scholar dealing with this topic in a comprehensive way. In his work “From Stefan Dušan to the Ottoman Empire”, based on the 15th-century cadastral surveys of Rumelia, he pointed out that there was a considerable number of Christian timar-holders in the Balkans and a significant number of voynuks, martoloses and other Ottoman military groups consisting only of Christians. He established the identity and origin of particular Christian sipahis too, but only in Thesalia and Albania. That is why we decided to determine the identity of Christian timariots in present-day Serbia and Macedonia, the stipulations under which they entered the Ottoman service and their income levels. Our paper is based not only on the 15th-century tahrir defters used by İnalcık, but also on new ones which still have not been explored. Our paper deals exclusively with Christian, i.e. Serbian nobility – sipahis, and not with the military groups whose members were free men who did not belong to the nobility, such as voynuks and martoloses.

Aleksandar KRSTIĆ, PhD
(Institute of History Belgrade)

SERBIAN NOBILITY BETWEEN OTTOMANS AND HUNGARIANS IN THE 15TH CENTURY

Serbian rulers were both the vassals of the sultan and the Hungarian king from the beginning of the 15th century until the fall of the medieval Serbian state (1459). The interweaving of the Ottoman and Hungarian influence in Serbia reflected also on the Serbian nobility. Thus, like the ruling despots, some of the leading Serbian aristocrats received possessions in Hungary. This did not prevent some of them to enter into the sultan’s service in order to preserve their positions and possessions, especially during the periods of increased Ottoman expansion in Serbia (for example in 1439). Entering the military service of conquerors was even more commonplace among the small nobility in those areas that came under the Ottoman rule. This tendency became even more evident after 1459, when the Ottoman authorities included numerous Serbian petty noblemen, as well as some of the high nobility, in their military organization as Christian sipahis, especially in the border regions of northern Serbia (Sanjak of Smederevo). However, many of them crossed to the Hungarian side. Serbian noblemen in Hungary participated in the defence of the southern Hungarian border and fought against the Ottomans, but they also struggled in Central Europe at the service of Hungarian kings. The focus in this paper will be on new data about the Serbian nobility in the 15th century, provided by sources, primarily those of Hungarian and Ottoman origin.
ASSIGNMENT OF FIEFS DURING THE ‘LONG TURKISH WAR’

The second half of the sixteenth and early seventeenth century were seen for a long time as the turning point in the history of the Ottoman Empire. This period was regarded as the beginning of the slow but irreversible decline of the Ottoman Empire, which was manifested in rampant corruption, maladministration of the governing institutions and irregularities in the *Timar*-System. The goal of my paper is to make a contribution to the state of *Timar*-System in the light of the current discussion on the beginning of the decline or transformation of the Ottoman Empire at the turn of the 17th century on the basis of entries in the *ruznamçe*-register No. 1033, preserved in the Leipzig University Library. The register spans the period from 1606 until 1615, and offers detailed data about the fiefs which became vacant because of fiefholders’ death or desertion and were then again assigned to new persons. The register has a unique value because its entries indicate that the assignment of vacant fiefs took place in an orderly and lawful way, which questions the claims about the chaotic state in the Ottoman *Timar*-System at the turn of the 17th century found in the literature.

SPREADING OF ISLAM IN MACEDONIA (15–16TH C.)

The spreading of Islam in the territory of today’s Republic of Macedonia in the 15th and 16th centuries is specific in terms of its western and eastern parts. In regard to its western part, according to the Ottoman censuses from the 15/16th centuries, the process of Islamization first started among the Albanians in the territory of today’s eastern Albania and then spread to the Slav population in Western Macedonia. In the 16th century entire Christian villages became Muslim.

In the second half of the 15th century there were many Muslims in eastern Macedonia – especially the *Juruk*, who had already settled in the eastern parts of today’s Republic of Macedonia. In the areas around the contemporary cities of Štip and Radoviš there were and still are more than 50 *Juruk* villages. Also present in the cities were the Muslim population, soldiers and representatives of Islamic religious institutions. However, there was still no sign of Islamization of entire Christian villages in the 16th century, as it was the case in western Macedonia.

Therefore, it can be said that the pressure from this Islamized Albanian population caused more rapid Islamization of the Slavs in Western Macedonia. There is no information that the Ottoman state was involved in this process.
Assoc. Prof. Aşkın KOYUNCU, PhD  
(Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of History)

CONTRIBUTION TO THE HISTORY OF DEVSHIRME:  
KAVANIN-I YENİÇERIYAN AND RECRUITMENT STORY OF BOSNIAN MUSLIM BOYS INTO JANISSARY CORPS RECONSIDERED

_Kavanin-i Yeniçeriyan_ (the Janissary Codex) is doubtless one of the most important sources on the history of _devshirme_. It was written originally in 1606, but only several copies have remained to date. Although Muslims were normally exempted from the _devshirme_, Bosnian Muslim boys, called _Poturnak oğulları_ or _Potur oğulları_ (sons of _Poturnak_ or _Poturs_ respectively) in the Ottoman documents, were included into the Janissary corps. All copies of the _Kavanin-i Yeniçeriyan_ say that only Muslim boys were recruited from Bosnia. The Bosnian Christian population embraced Islam en masse upon the Ottoman conquest in 1463, but requested from the Sultan that their children should nevertheless be eligible for the _devshirme_. Then, Mehmed II ordered that Bosnian Muslim boys be recruited as _acemioğlan_. On the other hand, there are different statements about the recruitment of Bosnian Muslims in the copies of the _Kavanin-i Yeniçeriyan_ which have not been noticed by scholars up to now. Besides, following the _Kavanin-i Yeniçeriyan_, Şemdanizade Fındıklılı Süleyman Efendi repeated this story in his _Müri’t Tevarih_. Some historians used this explanation to back up the Bogomilism theory asserting that Bosnian Bogomils at once converted to Islam and claimed that Bosnian Muslims gave their children voluntarily as _devshirme_ conscripts. However, historical facts refute the _Kavanin-i Yeniçeriyan_’s story. First, both Muslim and non-Muslim boys were collected as _acemioğlan_ in the sanjaks of Bosnia, Herzegovina and Zvornik. Second, _tahrir_ registers show that the Islamization of the Bosnian people was not in fact instantaneous, but was a gradual process. Therefore, it is unlikely that there would be such an agreement between Mehmed II and the Bosnian people. However, the story of _Kavanin-i Yeniçeriyan_ is still popular among Bosnian and Turkish historians. I will try to explain why the Ottomans recruited Bosnian Muslim boys and when it started, and finally I will discuss who the Bosnian _Poturs_ actually were.

Prof. Rossitsa GRADEVA, PhD (American University in Bulgaria)

CONQUEST AND APPROPRIATION:  
THE CASE OF SOFIA, LATE 14TH – EARLY 18TH CENTURIES

Sofia became part of the Ottoman possessions in the Balkans in the first half of the 1380s. However, the process of its “appropriation” by the Ottomans was much longer
than the mere act of the conquest and included the city’s integration within the
Ottoman administrative system and its transformation and re-organisation, reflecting
the political and social developments in the region and the growth of the Muslim
community in the city. These trends interacted with sometimes fierce competition in
the ideological sphere.

This paper elaborates on two aspects of the process of the “long conquest” and
appropriation of the city by the Ottomans – conversion of churches and people. It
focuses on the case studies of two symbolic churches of the city – St Sophia and the
Rotonda, and on the vitae of the Sofian neo-martyrs, in order to examine how the
gradual Ottomanisation of the city was reflected in the mental world of the local
citizens.

The analysis is based on diverse sources – individual Ottoman documents, 
mühimme and şişayet defters, Ottoman and Bulgarian narrative sources, travel
accounts.

Dragana AMEDOSKI, PhD (Institute of History Belgrade)

INTRODUCTION OF RICE CULTURE IN THE CENTRAL BALKANS
(15th AND 16th CENTURY)

Rice cultivation followed the route of the Ottoman Empire’s expansion towards
Rumelia. A few years after the conquest of this area, the first rice fields appeared in
the river valleys all over the Central Balkans. The most fertile soil along the Velika
(Great) Morava, Južna (South) Morava, Nišava, Rasina, Toplica rivers was used for
cultivating this “precious grain”. This agricultural product was highly esteemed and
rice dishes were rarely served outside the sultan’s palace in the 15th century.

The earliest Ottoman provincial laws for relevant territory contain regulations
regarding rice cultivation. Historical records show that Ottomans started with rice
cultivation in this area almost immediately after its conquest. The earliest relevant
data are contained in the mukâta’a registers for the rice fields in this area in the
second half of the 15th century. They include information about the amount of rice
seed to be sown.

In the early 16th century rice growing flourished especially in the region of Niš, Peć
nahiye, as well as in the nahiye of Petruš, in the district of Paraćin. Significant
quantities of rice were also produced in the Alaca Hisar (Kruševac) nahiye, while
smaller amounts were registered in several villages in the Rasina valley and the nahiye
of Bovan. Soon after, rice cultivation spread all over the rest of Central Balkans.
Assoc. Prof. Jelena MRGIĆ, PhD
(Faculty of Philosophy, University of Belgrade)

AQUA VITAE – GEOGRAPHIES OF ALCOHOL PRODUCTION AND CONSUMPTION IN THE OTTOMAN BALKANS

The paper aims to present narrative and documentary sources on the issues concerning the beginning of brandy distillation, types of production, commerce and consumption of alcoholic beverages during the period from the 16th to 19th century. Religion, class and gender are highlighted in discussing the cultural geography of alcohol consumption, and the evidence would be further incorporated into a broader context of environmental history.

Ass. Prof. Ferhan KIRLIDOKME MOLLAOGLU, PhD
(T.R. Trakya University, Faculty of Letter)

BYZANTINE AND OTTOMAN NARRATIVES OF THE SERBIAN STATE AND PEOPLE (15TH CENTURY)

The Byzantine and Ottoman historians of the fifteenth century dealt with the history of the Serbian state and people on several occasions. For many Byzantine writers, as for their predecessors of the previous centuries, the Serbs were the “Triballoi/Τριβαλλοί” and they called the Serbian state “the land of Triballoi/χώρα των Τριβαλλών”. Ottoman historians used the term “Laz ili/Vılk ili” in order to designate the land occupied by the medieval Serbian state, while in Turkish sources Đurađ Branković is called “Vılkoglu”. It is well known that both groups of sources provide ample information about the political, cultural and economic history of medieval Serbia.

In the Byzantine and Ottoman sources the medieval Serbian state is depicted as a “rich land” because of its silver mines. Also, in fifteenth-century Byzantine and Ottoman historiography the Serbs are described as “allies”, “mediators” or “enemies” according to the policy that Serbian rulers applied on both sides. The focus of this paper will be common references of the Byzantine and Ottoman narratives to the Serbian state and people of the fifteenth century. Furthermore, the presentation will focus on the motivation of both groups of writers as reflected in their narratives.
Livia MAGINA, PhD  
(Museum of the Highland Banat, Reşiţa)

THE IMAGE OF THE TURKS IN DOCUMENTS  
FROM EARLY MODERN TRANSYLVANIA

In the 16–17th centuries Transylvania and the Ottoman Empire had an interesting relation. Although the Principality was autonomous, the Ottoman Empire was a sovereign state with a decisive influence on Transylvania’s policy. This kind of relation influenced the image of Turks among the people of Transylvania. Although the official documents of Transylvanian princes to the Sublime Porte had to be rigid and specific, there are many other documents issued in the 16–17th centuries in Transylvania which describe the attitude and mentality of locals toward Turks. The most important are memoires of some locals from different social categories: noblemen, burghers or churchmen.

Despite the fact that the Sublime Porte involved the Principality into the brutal wars or even directly attacked some areas of Transylvania, the image of Ottomans was not always a negative one, being influenced by the political option or even the social status of the writer.

Ass. Prof. Emir FILIPOVIĆ, PhD  
(Faculty of Philosophy, University of Sarajevo)

THE OTTOMAN CONQUEST AND THE DEPOPULATION OF BOSNIA  
IN THE 15TH CENTURY

There can be no doubt that the Ottoman conquest of the Balkans during the 14th and 15th century was followed by war, violence, disorder and destruction, which stands in stark contrast when compared to the more tranquil and prosperous periods of the later centuries. The establishment of Ottoman power in the Balkans was strongly opposed not only by the local ruling elites, but also by the majority of the people who were uncertain of their destiny under a new system of administration and government. Not feeling safe in the times of war, which were followed by economic deprivation and famine, many of them sought refuge in migrating to safer areas which were located further away from the conflict zones in the border regions. Many contemporary sources testify about the large-scale movements of people from the Bosnian Kingdom towards the coastal towns of Dalmatia, and even further across the Adriatic Sea into Italy. Thus the Ottoman conquest of Bosnia had a significant influence on the heavy depopulation of urban areas and the countryside during the 15th century. This paper will seek to shed some light on the available source materials and to provide an insight into why some people migrated and why others remained in Bosnia accepting Ottoman rule.
Ass. Pavle DRAGIČEVIĆ, MA  
(Faculty of Philosophy, University of Banja Luka)

WESTERN BOSNIA AS HUNGARIAN – TURKISH MARCH

After the fall of medieval Bosnia under the Turkish reign in 1463, the area of Western Bosnia became the westernmost area of conflict between Hungary and Turkey. Both countries made an effort to maintain their power in this area as its main advantage was its favourable geographical position that allowed the Turks easy access to the depth of the Hungarian territory, Venetian Dalmatia, or even further, into the German countries. The paper analyses the geostrategic importance of this region, frequent changes in border lines between the two countries, as well as the initiation of construction of defensive fortification in the Una River Basin, which later became known as the Military Frontier.

Prof. Machiel KIEL, PhD  
(Netherlands Institute in Turkey)

BUILDING ACCOUNTS OF THE OTTOMAN CASTLE OF RAM ON THE DANUBE, 1491

The exact year of construction of the castle of Ram between Smederevo and Veliko Gradište was hitherto unknown. The document recounting its construction in detail is preserved in the Muallim Cevdet Yazmalari collection in the Belediye Kütüphanesi in Istanbul. It shows where the money came from, who organised the work, what materials were used, where the workforce came from and when it was built. In addition, the date of construction and identity of the founder of the caravansaray of Ram (the only more or less preserved example in Serbia) are given.

Assoc. Prof. Hatice ORUÇ, PhD  
(Ankara University, Faculty of Letters, History Department)

VIŠEGRAD BASED ON Tahrir Registers of 15th and 16th Centuries

The foundation of the town of Višegrad, which is well-known for its location on the Drina river and the bridge on it, occurred after the Ottoman conquest, according to tahrir registers. Mehmed Çelebi – who succeeded his father Isa-Beg, son of Ishak-Beg,
sanjak-bey of Bosnia and founder of the cities such as Sarajevo and Novi Pazar – laid the foundation of the Ottoman town of Višegrad.

The name of Višegrad appears first of all in the summary register dated 1468/69 of the Bosnian Sanjak and later in tahrir registers dated 1485, 1489, 1516, 1530 and 1604. Although the Bosnian Sanjak was registered between 1530 and 1604, unfortunately these registers have not survived completely as there are some missing parts. We suppose that the recordings of Višegrad could be among them. However, these registers do contain information about the surrounding villages. For example, tahrir registers dated 1550 include 51 villages of Višegrad.

In this presentation we will deal with the establishment and development of the town of Višegrad in the 15th and 16th centuries according to Bosnian tahrir registers held at the Ottoman Archive of the Prime Ministry in Istanbul, Atatürk Library in Istanbul and General Directorate of the State Cadastre and Land-Ownership Records of the Republic of Turkey. Rural settlements are also briefly mentioned.

Adrian MAGINA, PhD
(Museum of the Highland Banat, Reşiţa)

IN THE HANDS OF THE TURKS. CAPTIVES FROM SOUTHERN HUNGARY IN THE OTTOMAN EMPIRE (14–16TH CENTURIES)

In second half of the 14th century, the Ottoman Empire advanced into the Balkans and reached the frontier of the medieval Hungarian kingdom. By the end of the century, the Ottoman pressure was becoming increasingly stronger in the southern part of the kingdom. The Turkish raids affected the territory between the Danube, Tisza and Mureş area, the region now called Banat. In those raids some of the inhabitants were taken into captivity by Turkish troops and became slaves in the empire. Although minor, this topic contributes to the understanding of early Turkish-Hungarian contacts and relations. The first known case is from around 1375, when a noble young woman from the Himfy family was captured and sold as a slave in Greek islands. The almost permanent conflict between Hungary and the Ottoman Empire in the 15–16th centuries affected the southern territory of the kingdom. The number of documents that speak about captives is increasing, offering interesting data about their status, how or when they were captured and, of course, the ransom paid for their release.
Ass. Prof. Nebojša S. ŠULETIĆ, PhD
(Faculty of Philosophy, University of Belgrade)

PEASANT HEADMEN IN THE LATTER HALF OF THE 16TH CENTURY:
THE CASE OF THE SANJAK OF SYRMIA

As in the previous centuries, at the beginning of the modern era the Serbian society showed pronounced agrarian characteristics. Limited capacities of producing food and material goods still led to the pooling of manpower and labour resources within the smallest self-sustainable economic unit – the village. As complex communities, villages needed instruments that would allow them to regulate relationships between their members and maintain communication with the outside world and state authorities. In past times not covered by the extant historical sources, this need led to the emergence of diverse peasant self-governing institutions; among these, one of the most enduring was the institution of the chieftain of the agrarian community – the peasant headman or knez.

This paper aims to present the duties and rights of these peasant headmen in the latter half of the 16th century and to discuss their position in the socio-political environment, which acquired a series of new characteristics after the establishment of Ottoman rule. Based on data from fiscal censuses in the Sanjak of Syrmia, special attention is paid to the spatial layout of their residences, which can be associated with their role in the resettlement of the depopulated parts of Syrmia.

Prof. Nenad MOAČANIN, PhD
(Croatian Academy of Sciences and Arts)

THE DIVISION OF THE CIZYE-PAYERS INTO THREE CLASSES AS FORESHADOWED IN THE PRE-REFORM 17TH CENTURY “PSEUDO-MUFASSALS”

The Habsburg Hungarian Court Chamber (Hofkammer) surveys of the reconquered land in Slavonia (1698 and 1702) offer a very good insight into Ottoman taxation practices “on the ground”, in particular in matters of the poll-tax (cizye). In contrast with the uniform and quite monotonous picture of the cizye records compiled some sixty years earlier, they reveal that the non-Muslim subjects were paying the cizye exactly in the same way it was officially proclaimed in 1691. Thus the three classes of taxpayers did exist, at least by the mid-seventeenth century, if not already since the twenties. The following step in interpreting the Ottoman records must go in the direction of explaining the fact that they kept using the word cizyehane as if it were the same unit everywhere, while at the same time occasionally offering some valuable hints toward better understanding. In this respect, of major importance is the fact that there are records (“pseudo-mufassals”) which contain
the “names” of the taxpayers that are literally meaningless, as well as amounts to be paid which had nothing to do either with inflation or exchange rates, but instead depended on the military per diems.

**Prof. Ema MILJKOVIĆ, PhD**
(Faculty of Philosophy, University of Niš)

**FROM “DHIMMITUDE” TO TURKISM – CONFESSIONAL AND ETHNIC POLICY IN THE OTTOMAN EMPIRE**

Although the main outlines of the Ottoman policy toward the non-Muslim citizens of the Empire are well known, the issue still raises questions and a polemic discourse among historians – experts in the history of the Ottoman Empire. The two main lines of reasoning are the following: some historians tend to see the position of the non-Muslim citizens of the Empire (mostly Christians and Jews, the so-called dhimmis, from Arabic ذُمِّمَى **ẓimmī**, ) as the second-class subjects, with almost no rights (hence the term **dhimmitude** used by the Jewish-originated British historian and publicist Bat Ye’or), while others see the dhimmis as protected citizens and insist on the tolerance expressed both by the Islamic states precedent to the Ottoman Empire, as well as by the Ottoman Empire itself.

This paper aims to examine in detail the dhimmi status and outline an objective and scientific description of the position of non-Muslim subjects of the Ottoman rulers. It also examines the evolution of the status of dhimmis, especially after the **Hatt-ı Şerif** of **Gülhane** of 1839, when the classic position of non-Muslim citizens began to change.

**Ass. Prof. Güneş IŞIKSEL, PhD**
(Istanbul Medeniyet University)

**SERENISSIMA AND BEYLERBEY: SOME CASES OF VENETO-BOSNIAN FRONTIER DIPLOMACY**

From the third quarter of the 16th century onward, the Ottomans gradually came to see the political space in terms of defined territory prompted by less feasible military expeditions. Distances, and thus logistic difficulties, as well as other geographical and ecological conditions favoured a pattern of Ottoman military involvement oriented to the eastern and southern edges of Central Europe and along the Euphrates and Southern Caucasus in Western Asia. The Ottomans answered to these challenges by establishing flexible but interdependent administrative structures on the borderlands. These frontiers were sometimes demarcated, but Ottoman bureaucrats were often not able to turn
these lands which constituted the margins of “Well-Protected Dominions” into legible spaces. In other words, these frontiers differ from boundaries by virtue of their flexible, shifting, and amorphous nature, facilitating cross-cultural interaction and interpenetration between different frontier societies.

Furthermore, the distances between the capital and the remote frontiers were a major challenge which hindered the necessary flexibility while treating territorial disputes. The distance from the centre and the lack of detailed knowledge about socio-political and cultural configurations in these regions were not the only factors contributing to the emergence of frontier diplomacy and delegation of power in this field. In the centre, a bureau with specialized personnel with specific regional expertise was lacking. For reasons of efficiency and to facilitate negotiations in different border configurations, the Sublime Porte delegated some of its powers both at the decision-making level and diplomatically to the most important governors of the border zones who had necessary local knowledge and expertise. Frontier diplomacy was a constant feature of Ottoman foreign policy from the second half of the 16th century onward. In this paper, by relying on unpublished documents from the Archivio di Stato di Venezia, we will examine some cases in which the beylerbey of Bosnia deals with different Venetian high dignitaries (Doge, Senate or Provedditori of different districts in Dalmatia) in order to resolve various issues relating to Ottoman-Venetian interaction at the turn of the 17th century.

Prof. Markus KOLLER, PhD
(Ruhr-University Bochum, Faculty of History, Institute of History)

KAPUDANS IN THE OTTOMAN BALKANS AND LEGACY OF THE VENETIAN RULE IN SOUTHEASTERN EUROPE

The Ottoman-Venetian relations have developed into a very dynamic research field that deeply influenced the writing of early modern history. Historiography focuses mainly on topics dealing with different forms of contact and exchange between the Serenissima and the Ottoman Empire. However, there are – for a variety of reasons – a very limited number of studies which shed light on transfers of institutions from the Venetian to the Ottoman dominion in Southeastern Europe, especially between the 14th and 16th centuries. The present paper aims at showing the impact of the Venetian Stato da Mar on construction of the Ottoman province administration by concentrating on the term kapudan that designated various offices in the Ottoman Balkans. The title appeared in Venetian sources as capitaneus and was used in the province administration. In the scope of this paper I will discuss the transfer processes through which the institution of the Venetian capitaneus found its way into the Ottoman province administration.
Ass. Prof. Oktay ÖZEL, PhD (Bilkent University)
Prof. Evgeni RADUSHEV, PhD (Bilkent University)

COMPARING THE BALKANS WITH ANATOLIAN PROVINCES IN THE EARLY SEVENTEENTH CENTURY: SOME NEW FINDINGS AND QUESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

The global “seventeenth century crisis” also visited the Ottoman lands. Its manifestations and consequences have been studied more thoroughly for the Anatolian provinces in terms of the population decline, abandonment of rural settlements, collective violence and frequent eruptions of epidemics in the context of climate changes. Some aspects of the crisis such as its impact on agricultural production, productivity and changing terms of production in the Anatolian countryside, as well as the land-population ratio, have yet to be explored.

The Balkan provinces, however, have not attracted attention in this context since the last scholarly discussion was initiated by Bruce McGowen in the early 1980s and ended abruptly with Maria Todorova’s critique of his work a decade later. Similarly, Machiel Kiel’s unpublished studies concerning possible impacts of the crisis in the Balkans in terms of the population decline, climate change and epidemics were in circulation among a small community of scholars for years, but unfortunately produced no interest so far (in spite of the recent increase in literature on this global crisis).

This paper will attempt to bring this issue once more to the agenda on the basis of an examination of the mufassal avarız register of the Niğbolu-Silistre region dated 1642. It will also formulate some questions for further research in order to compare situations in the Balkans and Anatolian provinces.

Prof. Ayşe KAYAPINAR, PhD
(Izmir Kâtip Çelebi University, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Department of History)
Prof. Levent KAYAPINAR, PhD
(Izmir Kâtip Çelebi University, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Department of History)

THE APPLICATION OF THE DERBEND ORGANIZATION IN THE BALKANS. AN EXAMPLE OF THE CONTINUITY OF BALKAN INSTITUTIONS IN THE OTTOMAN SYSTEM

The practice known as “vigla” in Balkan history continued in the Ottoman Empire under the name of derbendjilik. The Ottoman tax registers from the second half of the 15th and from the 16th century help us to present the derbendji villages and show the
development of derbendji organization in the Balkans. Examining the Balkan territory in general, we see that the villages appointed as derbendji villages are located in various geographical areas. Differences in geographical location diversify the duties of derbendji villages. Another issue to examine is the difference in the amount of taxes paid by the derbendji villages. For example, the location of some derbendji villages on the banks of the Danube and Timok rivers and the position of others in mountainous regions influenced their distribution according to the nahiye. In this study, we will focus on the emergence of derbendjilik in the Balkans and the expansion of organization. In addition, the process of division of the derbendji village and the emergence of a new village will be examined. We will try to localize the derbendji villages and determine their distribution according to the nahiye. Otherwise, the relationship between derbendji and the organizations such as filurici, voynuk and martolos will be presented. The tax registers concerning the Ottoman sanjaks in the Balkans dated 15–16th centuries represent the main sources for our paper. In addition, we also relied on the mühimme and ahkam registers.

Neven ISAILOVIĆ, PhD
(Institute of History Belgrade)

LEGISLATION CONCERNING THE VLACHS OF THE BALKANS BEFORE AND AFTER OTTOMAN CONQUEST

The paper will focus on the analysis of legislative material from the medieval and early Ottoman period which regulated the status of the Vlach population in the Balkans. By analysing both fragmentary and complete laws on the Vlachs, we will try to establish whether there were substantial changes in legal treatment of this group of people after the establishment of the Ottoman rule in the Balkans, with special regard to the area which includes present-day Croatia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Montenegro and Serbia. Although it would not be false to say that the Ottoman legislative material on this issue was, to a great extent, based on the medieval laws, thus representing a continuity, it must be noted that it seems that its use was expanded to a broader population. In fact, it seems plausible to say that the Ottomans completed the already ongoing process by applying the laws formerly concerning an ethnic and professional group to a wider social and even military group, regardless of its origin and profession. This process may have already been devised by their late-medieval precursors, but there are not enough sources to decisively support that claim.
Aleksandar JAKOVLJEVIĆ  
(Institute of History Belgrade)

SOME OBSERVATIONS CONCERNING THE OTTOMAN FAIRS (PANĀYIRS):  
THE CASES OF STRYMON, THESSALONIKI, SKOPJE AND BRANIĆEVO AREAS,  
14–16TH CENTURIES

The aim of this paper is to emphasize the circumstances under which the institution of fairs (panayirs), religious in character and closely – although not exclusively, related to church organization, acquired wider application within the Ottoman fiscal and administrative system. In adopting previous practices of their predecessors, i.e. Seljuks, Byzantines and Balkan states, particularly in the field of customary law (‘örf) and, for example, taxation – as long as they did not collide with Muslim principles of law (Sharī’a) – the Ottomans even used some exclusively Christian institutions. Ottoman sources from the 15–16th centuries (tapu tahrir registers) have been examined through Byzantine and Serbian medieval documents dealing with the areas where the sources of aforementioned provenances were available (14–16th centuries). In several areas in the Balkan Peninsula, clear marks of continuity and shifts were manifested. Although the Church lost many of its former rights in organisation of fairs and collecting taxes, a considerable number of cases, namely in the Strymon and Braničevo areas, indicate that the same places of fairs (with identical patron-saints) attested in the 14th century existed in the 15–16th centuries as well. On the contrary, in the areas such as Thessaloniki and Skopje marks of disruption were noticed. In search of an explanation for these disparities, several questions were raised in relation to the methods of conquest, demographic changes, scribal and administrative practices.
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